

Identification of experimental form function using lumped parameters interior ballistics model

Radoslaw Trebinski, Zbigniew Leciejewski, Zbigniew Surma, Bartosz Fikus, Damian Szupienko Department of Mechatronics, Armament and Aerospace, Military University of Technology, Gen. S. Kaliskiego 2, 00-908 Warszawa, Poland, e-mail: radoslaw.trebinski@wat.edu.pl



Background

In the Institute of Armament Technology of the Military University of Technology new designs of small arms are developed. Mathematical models of the action of the automatics systems of the arms (like illustrated in the Figure) are used in the design process. An interior ballistics lumped parameters model form a part of these models. The model takes into account pecularities of combustion of fine grained propellants in the way explained in the paper.



Diagram of automatics system of 12.7 mm gun



Experimental form function

The experimental form function is defined as an average of form functions of individual propellant grains:

$$\phi_{ex}(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_g} \phi_i(z_i) / n_g$$

z is the relative burned mass of the propellant charge, z_i is the relative burnt mass of a propellant grain, $\phi_i(z_i)$ is the form function of a propellant grain, n_g is the number of grains. The experimental form function takes into account the scatter of forms and sizes of propellant grains, as well as prolonged ignition process.



 p_{max} calculated maximum pressure value $h_w = 0$, z_0 , c_3 from CVT

The second step: $h_w = h_{wmax}$





Values of the muzzle velocity calculated in the first step for various values of h_w (data for 5.56 mm assault rifle)

The third step:

various values of
$$h_w$$
 in the first step for $h_w = 0$ and
mm assault rifle) various values of c_3
$$h_{wopt} = h_{wmax} \frac{v_m(0) - v_{me}}{v_m(0) - v_m(h_{wmax})}$$



Plots of experimental form functions determined in closed vessel tests for N530 (left) and NC1214 (right) propellants: experimental – solid and dashed lines, theoretical – black dotted line, approximation – red dotted line

Deviations from theoretical form function are caused by:





More energy is necessary to

ignite fine-grained propellants

Scatter of shapes and sizes of grains

Experimental form function in the lumped parameters model $\frac{dz}{dt} = \theta \phi_{ex}(z) \left(\frac{p}{p_0}\right)^n, \quad p_0 = 0.1 \text{ MPa}$ STANAG 4367 modified Parameters identified in closed vessel tests: n, θ The experimental form function is identified by the reverse method.

Plots of calculated breech pressure (dotted line) and experimental pressure records at rifle's beginning (solid lines); stars – start of the projectile and end of the ignition

References

- Trebinski R, Z. Leciejewski Z, Z. Surma. 2019. "Modifications of the closed vessel test results analysis method", in Proc. 31st International Symposium on Ballistics, Hyderabad, India, Vol.1: 11-21.
- Lasiojovelti 7 7 Surma 2011 "Effect of Application of Various Ignition Conditions in Closed Vascal Tests on Durning Pate

 $\phi_{ex}(z) \approx \phi_{a}(z) = \psi(z)\phi_{d}(z)\phi(z)$ $\psi(z) = \begin{cases} \psi_0 & z \in [0, z_0] \\ 1 - \exp[-b_1(z - b_2)] & z \in [z_0, 1] \end{cases}, \quad b_2 = z_0 + \log(1 - \psi_0)/b_1$ $\phi_{d}(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & z \leq z_{m} \\ c_{0} + c_{1}z + c_{2}z^{2} + c_{3}z^{3} & z > z_{m} \end{cases}$ Fitted parameters: Ψ_0, z_0, b_1, c_3

Additional fitted parameters: p_{rm} , f_R , h_w



resistance pressure $p_R(x) = p_r(x) + f_R p_p$ heat losses $h = h_w (D/D_b)^{0,2} (\rho_{av} v_{av})^{0,8}; \quad D = 378/(T+105)(T/273)^{1,5}$

Leciejewski Z., Z. Surma. 2011. "Effect of Application of various Ignition Conditions in Closed-vessel Tests on Burning Rate
Calculation of a Fine-Grained Propellant". Combustion, Explosion and Shock Waves, 47(2): 209-216.
Leciejewski Z. 2014. "Oddities in determining burning rate on basis of closed vessel tests of single base propellant", Journal of
Theoretical and Applied Mechanics, 52(2): 313-321.
Trebinski R, Z. Leciejewski Z, Z. Surma. 2019. "Investigations of the Influence of Ignition on the Dynamic Vivacity of Propel- lants", <i>Problems of Mechatronics. Armament, Aviation, Safety Engineering</i> , 10(2): 55-68.
Serebryakov M. 1949, Internal Ballistics (in Russian). Oborongiz, Moscow.
Corner J. 1950, Theory of Interior Ballistics of Guns. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York.
Baer P.G, J.M. Frankle. 1962. "The Simulation of Interior Ballistic Performance of Guns by Digital Computer Program", Report No. 1183, Ballistic Research Laboratories, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, USA.
Anderson R.D., K.D. Fickie. "IBHVG2 - A User's Guide", Report BRL-TR-2829, 1987: Ballistic Research Laboratories, Aber- deen Proving Ground, MD, USA.
STANAG 4367 Land (Edition 2). 22 May 2000. "Thermodynamic Interior Ballistic Model with Global Parameters".
Carlucci D.E., S.S.Jacobson. 2008. Ballistics. Theory and Design of Guns and Ammunition. CRC Press, Roca Rayton, London, New York.
Marquardt D. 1963. "An Algorithm for Least-Squares Estimation of Nonlinear Parameters", SIAM Journal on Applied Mathemat- ics, 11 (2): 431–441.
Williams A.W., A.L. Brant, P.J. Kaste, J.W. Colburn. September 2006. "Experimental Studies of the No. 41 Primer and Ignition of 5.56-mm Ammunition", ARL-TR-3922 Report, Army Research Laboratory Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, USA.
Ritter J.J., R.A. Beyer. September 2015. "Primer Output and Initial Projectile Motion for 5.56- and 7.62-mm Ammunition", ARL- TR-7479 Report, Army Research Laboratory Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, USA.
Kaminski R. 2007. Ballistic and thermal conditioning of the process of the wear of barrels of automatic assault rifles (in Polish). PhD Thesis, Military University of Technology, Warsaw, Poland.
Box G.E.P, K.B. Wilson. 1951. "On the Experimental Attainment of Optimum Conditions". Journal of the Royal Statistical Socie- ty, Series B, 13(1): 1–45.
Horst A.W., P.J. Conroy. July 2007. "Flame-spreading processes in a small-caliber gun". Report No.: ARL-TR-4181. Aberdeen Proving Ground (MD), Army Research Laboratory, MD, USA.